

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/977,124	10/12/2001	Chee-Yee Chung	884.538US1	3114	
21186	7590 12/08/2005	EXAMINER			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH 1600 TCF TOWER 121 SOUTH EIGHT STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			DINH, TUAN T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2841		
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(\A)		
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astinu Comme		09/977,124	CHUNG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tuan T. Dinh	2841			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	S		
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.2 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun O (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	Posponsive to communication(s) filed an 20 C	antambas 2005				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Set This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This					
3)□	, _	action is non-final.				
<u>ا</u> رن	Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			its is		
Dienoeit	ion of Claims	.x parte Quayre, 1955 C.D. 11, 4.	33 O.G. 213.			
4)[2]	Claim(s) 1-10,27-30 and 32-35 is/are pending in the application.					
5)[]	4a) Of the above claim(s) 8.9.28 and 29 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10,27,30 and 32-35</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r cloation roominant				
		r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	52.		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
,	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Δ		
	application from the International Bureau		70 III UIIO Mallonal Glage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.			
•				• "		
				•		
Attachmen	t(a)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO_413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 10-11, 27, and 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasaka ('286) in view of Broyde (U.S. Patent 4,794,353) or Nagasaka ('286) in view of Novak et al. ('622), and further in view Broyde (U.S. Patent 4,794,353).

As to claims 1-2, 27, Nagasaka discloses a resistive element (12-figures 1-2, column 4, lines 2-3) and an apparatus as shown in figures 1-11, comprising: a resistive material including first and second contact points (13a, 12a, column 4, line 24, see figure 2), the first contact point (13a) having a conductive pattern/land (17) electrically connected to an electrical component (IC chip or chip component, see column 4, lines 30-35), the second contact point (12a) connected to a circuit board plane (a surface of a substrate (11)) using at least one via (15a, column 4, line 26).

Nagasaka disclose the first contact point (13a) having *electrically connected* to IC chips or chip component, see column 4, lines 30-35. The electrical/chip component would be as a capacitor chip component, a decoupling capacitor, or a capacitor. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to use the electrical or chip component as a passive component or capacitor as taught by Nagasaka in order to reduce variation in the electrical impedance with frequency of a capacitor mounted on the PCB. Further, for the applicant benefit, Novak et al. shows a printed circuit board as shown in figure 24 comprising a capacitor (202) having terminals or leads (210, 212) mounted on and soldered to the surface of the printed circuit board, and a resistive region (250) connected to a first terminal (210) by a solder.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a teaching of Novak et al. modified the component or chip of Nagasaka in order to reduce variation in the electrical impedance with frequency of a capacitor mounted on the PCB.

Nagasaka or Nagasaka in view of Novak does/do not teach(es) a summed series resistance provided by adding a value of resistance for the resistive element to an effective series resistance of the capacitor is approximately equal to an effective series resistance of a circuit board and the circuit board plane connected to circuit board.

Broyde teaches a dissipative low-pass filter as shown in figure 4 comprising a resistor (Rc) connected to a capacitor C of a filter 16, which is approximately is equal to a resistance of C1 of a filter 18, see column 4, lines 40-52.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a teaching of Broyde employed in an apparatus of Nagasaka or Nagasaka in view of Novak in order to form an equivalent circuit to control a frequency applied on a circuit board or device.

As to claims 3-6, and 32-35, Nagasaka discloses the resistive material (12) includes first and second metals (column 4, lines 10-11), the first metal is nickel and the second metal is gold, see column 4, lines 30-31.

Claimed variations in relative dimensions, which do not specify a device which performs or operates any differently from the prior art, do not patentably distinguish applicant's invention. <u>Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.</u>, 725 F.2d 1338 (Ct. App. Fed. Cir. 1984).

Regarding claim 7, Nagasaka/Broyde and Nagasaka/Novak/Broyde do not specific disclose the particular dimensions of the first and second metals have a width of about 10 to about 1000 microns, a length of about 10 to about 5000 microns, and a total thickness of about 0.05 to about 2.5 microns. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have a dimension of the resistive element in order to reduce sized and thickness for a miniature device, the workable dimensions of the resistive element would have been a matter of routine experimentation. In re Antonie, 559 F.2d 618 (CCPA 1977). Variations in the dimensional of the resistive element would have been obvious minor adjustments without patentable significance. See In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955) (Where general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover optimal or workable ranges by routine experimentation).

As to claim 10, Nagasaka discloses the second contact point (12a) is connected to the circuit board plane using a plurality of vias (15, column 4, line19).

As to claim 30, Nagasaka discloses an outside surface of the resistive element being attached (by a conductive material filled in the through holes 15).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 10, 27, 30, and 32-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Okochi et al., Stevenson, and Grebenkemper disclose related art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kammie Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/977,124

Art Unit: 2841

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

UN 1

Tuan Dinh

November 29, 2005.